#### Research

**Open Access** 

# Outer membrane protein genes and their small non-coding RNA regulator genes in *Photorhabdus luminescens* Dimitris Papamichail<sup>1</sup> and Nicholas Delihas<sup>\*2</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Sciences, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11794-4400, USA and <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, School of Medicine, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11794-5222, USA

Email: Dimitris Papamichail - dimitris@cs.sunysb.edu; Nicholas Delihas\* - ndelihas@ms.cc.sunysb.edu \* Corresponding author

Published: 22 May 2006

Biology Direct 2006, 1:12 doi:10.1186/1745-6150-1-12

Received: 08 May 2006 Accepted: 22 May 2006

This article is available from: http://www.biology-direct.com/content/1/1/12

© 2006 Papamichail and Delihas; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Three major outer membrane protein genes of *Escherichia coli*, *ompF*, *ompC*, and *ompA* respond to stress factors. Transcripts from these genes are regulated by the small non-coding RNAs *micF*, *micC*, and *micA*, respectively. Here we examine *Photorhabdus luminescens*, an organism that has a different habitat from *E. coli* for outer membrane protein genes and their regulatory RNA genes.

**Results:** By bioinformatics analysis of conserved genetic loci, mRNA 5'UTR sequences, RNA secondary structure motifs, upstream promoter regions and protein sequence homologies, an *ompF* -like porin gene in *P. luminescens* as well as a duplication of this gene have been predicted. Gene loci for *micF* RNA, as well as OmpC protein and its associated regulatory *micC* RNA, were not found. Significantly, a sequence bearing the appropriate signatures of the *E. coli micA* RNA was located. The *ompA* homolog was previously annotated in *P. luminescens*.

**Conclusion:** Presence of an *ompF*-like porin in *P. luminescens* is in keeping with the necessity to allow for passage of small molecules into the cell. The apparent lack of *ompC*, *micC* and *micF* suggests that these genes are not essential to *P. luminescens* and *ompC* and *micF* in particular may have been lost when the organism entered its defined life cycle and partially protected habitat. Control of porin gene expression by RNA may be more prevalent in free- living cells where survival is dependent on the ability to make rapid adjustments in response to environmental stress. Regulation of *ompA* by *micA* may have been retained due to a necessity for *ompA* control during one or both stages of the *P. luminescens* life cycle.

**Reviewers:** This article was reviewed by Tal Dagan (nominated by Dan Graur), Mikhail Gelfand and Anna Gerasimova (nominated by Mikhail Gelfand) and J Peter Gogarten.

**Open peer review:** Reviewed by Tal Dagan (nominated by Dan Graur), Mikhail Gelfand and Anna Gerasimova (nominated by Mikhail Gelfand) and J Peter Gogarten. For the full reviews, please go to the Reviewers' comments section.

#### Background

Outer membrane porin proteins allow for the passive dif-

fusion of small solutes into the bacterial cell. Passage of molecules through the cell envelope and control of this



#### Figure I

ompF gene locus in Escherichia coli CFT073, Shigella flexneri 2a str. 2457T, Salmonella typhimurium LT2, and in the Photorhabdus luminescens subsp. laumondii TT01 locus with annotation as ompN. In the S. typhimurium locus, genes pncB and pepN (not shown) are present however there are three genes inserted between pncB and asnS. The unmarked blue gene in E. coli is a hypothetical protein 61 amino acids long. The unmarked pink gene in S. typhimurium is a partially characterized protein and assumed to be a putative leucine response regulator. Gene arrangements are as shown on the NCBI website [16].

process are crucial to cell survival when nutrients are scarce or when the cell is exposure to toxins or other adverse conditions. In E. coli and related gamma-proteobacteria, the major outer membrane porin proteins are OmpF and OmpC [1]. ompF and ompC genes are regulated transcriptionally by transcription factor OmpR in response to osmolarity change in the environment [2]. ompF is also regulated post-transcriptionally at the level of messenger RNA stability by the *trans*-encoded antisense RNA *micF* in response to various environmental factors such as temperature increase, oxidative stress and exposure to toxic compounds [3]. Regulatory non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) in prokaryotes are also referred to as trans-encoded antisense RNAs. ompC in E. coli is regulated post-transcriptionally by the regulatory ncRNA micC [4]. OmpA, another major outer membrane protein, has multiple and more complex functions [5] For example, OmpA adds to the stability of the cell envelope by linking the outer membrane to the peptidoglycan. It is involved in bacterial conjugation [6] and functions as a porin protein as well [7]. The stability of ompA mRNA varies with bacterial growth rate [8] and ompA mRNA is degraded at a fast rate when cells enter stationary phase [9]. Udekwu et al [10] recently showed that the regulatory micA RNA posttranscriptionally regulates ompA mRNA. In addition, micA is induced at stationary phase, a stress condition [10]. Thus in E. coli, three major outer membrane proteins, OmpF, OmpC, and OmpA are all regulated by specific small RNAs in response to stress factors.

*Photorhabdus luminescens* is phylogenetically a member of the gamma- proteobacteria based on analyses of 50 gamma proteobacterial 16S rRNA genes [11]. In a phylogenetic tree based on the *sctV* gene (which encodes a highly conserved inner membrane protein), *P. luminescens* falls into the *Yersinia* family [12].

*P. luminescens* has a complex life cycle and proliferates in two distinctly different environments [13,14]. *P. luminescens* lives symbiotically in the nematode gut, but also has a pathogenic phase when the worm, which normally resides in the soil, infects an insect. In this stage, *P. luminescens* cells are released into the circulatory system (hemocoel) of the insect by the nematode. Here the bacteria grow and commence with the rapid killing of the insect and both the nematode and the bacteria feed from the dead insect [13,14]. After nutrients derived from the insect carcass are depleted, the bacteria re-associate with the nematode and the symbiotic relationship is re-established. *P. luminescens* has not been found as a free living organism and thus differs significantly from *E. coli* and most other closely related gamma-proteobacteria.

During the evolutionary period when *P. luminescens* evolved into a symbiont and a pathogen, its genome

expanded such that it has one of the largest chromosomes of the gamma-proteobacteria (~5.7 Mb) [15]. This expansion is related to its pathogenic phase [13-15]. However certain genetic elements that contribute towards survival in a harsh environment but are no longer needed may have been lost from the genome during evolution of the organism.

Using a bioinformatics approach, the *P. luminescens* genome was analyzed for outer membrane porin protein and associated regulatory RNA genes. We find a limited presence of the porin genes and their RNA regulators.

#### Results

#### Analyses of Photorhabdus omp-like genes Gene arrangements: ompF locus

Analysis of nearest neighbor genes in the gamma subdivision of proteobacteria reveals a conserved gene arrangement surrounding the porin protein gene *ompF* in species closely related to E. coli (Figure 1). OmpF is flanked on one side by *aspC*, the aspartate aminotransferase gene and by asnS, the asparaginyl-tRNA synthetase gene on the other side. In addition, pncB (nicotinate phosphoribosyltransferase) and *pepN* (aminopeptidase N) are situated to the right of the *ompF* gene locus in the schematic shown. Similar gene arrangements for the *ompF* locus are also in more distantly related organisms, e.g., Buchnera aphidicola str. Sg. The loci in Yersinia species (not shown) have the same gene arrangements, but *ompF* is referred to as a general porin gene, e.g., see yptb1435, Yersinia pseudotuberculosis IP 32953, complete genome annotation, [17]. However an ompF ortholog has been located in this locus in Yersinia species [18]. Xenorhabdus nematophilia, which has an ompF -like porin gene (opmP), displays the similar nearest neighbor gene arrangement as that in E. coli [19]. X. nematophilia has a similar life cycle as that of P. luminescens.

In *P. luminescens*, an identical nearest neighbor arrangement is present around the gene annotated as *ompN* (Figure 1). *ompN* is flanked by *aspC* and *asnS* with *pncB* and *pepN* situated adjacent to *asnS* as is found in *E. coli* and the other species shown. However, *Photorhabdus* also has a hypothetical protein gene (*plu1752*) situated between *ompN* and *asnS* (Figure 1). Thus the gene annotated as *ompN* in *P. luminescens* has the enterobacterial *ompF* chromosomal locus signature with the exception of an inserted protein gene. Analysis of nearest neighbor genes and their conservation in related enterobacterial species has been reported before, e.g., for non- coding RNA genes [20]. In addition Notebaart et al [21] have presented a detail analysis of nearest neighbor genes, protein amino acid sequence and gene function predictions.

Table	I: P.	luminescens	Om	pNamino	acid	sequence	identities
abic		lannineseens	<b>U</b>		aciu	sequence	lacificites

Organism	Porin	Percent identity*
X. nematophilus	OpmP (OmpF-like porin)	68%
Y. pseudotuberculosis	yptb1435 (OmpF homolog)	62%
S. marcescens	OmpF	62%
S. sonnei	OmpC	59%
E. coli	OmpC	58%
E. coli	OmpN	57%
S. enterica	OmpC	56%
S. sonnei	OmpF	54%
E. coli	OmpF	54%

\* Sequence identities of *P. luminescens* OmpN with other Omps from the NCBI Protein-protein BLAST (blastp) program

#### Characteristics of P. luminescens ompN

#### a. Amino acid sequence identities

A comparison of amino acid sequence identities of the *P. luminescens* OmpN with representative gamma-proteobacteria outer membrane proteins is shown in Table 1. The highest identity is with OpmP, the OmpF-like porin in *X. nematophilia*. However identities with other porin proteins in other bacteria are also high and a clear segregation of OmpF protein sequences is not apparent (Table 1). Due to evolutionary relatedness between many outer membrane proteins, there is high sequence identity between these proteins, but they are not necessarily orthologs of each other and identities between porin protein amino acid sequences is of limited use in gene annotation [18].

*ompN* is not uniformly found in the gamma-proteobacteria. For example, there is no annotation for the *ompN* gene

in *Yersinia pestis* and a protein blast search using the *E. coli* OmpN protein sequence does not yield an orthologous protein in the *Y. pestis* genomic sequence. Additional characteristics of *ompN* in other species are discussed below.

#### b. mRNA 5' UTR sequences and secondary structures

mRNA 5' UTR sequences can be important markers in identifying protein coding genes [18]. In the study here, a comparative sequence analysis of 5' UTRs and a comparison of mRNA 5' UTR secondary structures were performed. All parameters investigated suggest that *ompN* is the *P. luminescens* homolog of *ompF*.

The *P. luminescens ompN* mRNA 5' UTR was deduced from alignment of sequences upstream of the ATG coding start site with 5'UTR sequences of *Yersinia* species (Figure 2). A comparison with *Yersinia* sequences is pertinent since *Yersinia* and *Photorhabdus* species are closely related evolutionarily [11,12]. The *P. luminescens ompN* mRNA 5' UTR has a very high nucleotide sequence identity to the *ompF* mRNA 5'UTR of *Yersinia pestis* (89.5%). Interestingly, there is 100% sequence conservation at the 3' half of the 5'UTR from positions 61 – 111 (Figure 2). The *Photorhabdus ompN* 5' UTR also displays a high sequence identity with other gamma-proteobacteria *ompF* 5' UTRs (data not shown).

The putative *P. luminescens ompN* mRNA 5'UTR also shares signatures of the generalized *ompF* 5' UTR secondary structure. These signatures are highly specific to *ompF* mRNAs and consist of a long stem loop which starts at  $\sim$ 5–10 nt from the 5' end of the 5' UTR [23-25] (Figure 3). The stem contains several bulged and looped out posi-

		AGACACA	AGACGACAC	CAAACTCTC	AACAATAGTTC	CA	AAAAAATTA	TTGGCGGCAG	IGGCA
		1 -1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
Y.e. o	mpF	AGACACA	AGACGACAC	CAAACTCTC	AACAATAGTTC	CA	AAAAATTA	TTGGCGGCAG	FGGCA 61
Y.p. o	mpF	AGACACA	AGACGACAC	CAAACTCTC	AACAATAGTTC	CA	TAAAAATTA	TTGGCGGCAG	FGGCA 61
P.1. 0	MQM	CGAAACA	AGACGACAC	TAAACTCTC	ACAAATAGTTC	CGTAGATTT	TTAAAGAATTA	TTTTTGGCAG	rggca 70
	-								
		and the second		State State State					
		GGTGTC	CGAATAACA	CCAATGAGG	GTAATAATAAT	GATGAAGC			
		e	80	90	100	110			
Y.e. o	mpF	GGTGTC	CGAATAACA	CCAATGAGG	GTAATAATAAT	GATGAAGC			104
Y.p. o	mpF	GGTGTC	CGAATAACA	CCAATGAGG	GTAATAATAAT	GATGAAGC			104
P.1. 0	MQM	GGTGTC	CGAATAACA	CCAATGAGG	GTAATAATAAT	GATGAAAC			113
	-								

#### Figure 2

Alignment of putative Photorhabdus luminescens ompN mRNA 5' UTR nucleotide sequence with ompF mRNA 5' UTRs of Yersinia pestis and Yersinia enterocolitica. The 5' end of the ompN 5' UTR was assigned by alignment with Yersinia and other enterobacterial ompF 5' UTR sequences. Y.e., Y.p., and P.I. refer to Y. enterocolitica, Y. pestis, and P. luminescens sequences, respectively. The Y. enterocolitica sequence can be accessed in the Y. enterocolitica Blast Server [22]. Sequences were aligned with the DNASTAR, Inc program using ClustalW alignment.



5' UTR secondary structures of a) *P. luminescens ompN* mRNA 5' UTR and b) Y. *pestis ompF* mRNA. Structures modeled by the mfold program (26).

tions. In addition, there is also a small stem loop close to the 3' end that encompasses the Shine- Dalgarno (S-D) ribosome binding site (e.g., GAGG in *E. coli* and Y. *pestis*). The *Photorhabdus ompN* mRNA 5' UTR closely conforms to the gamma-proteobacteria *ompF* mRNA 5' UTR secondary structure motif. Figure 3 shows a comparison with the Y. *pestis ompF* mRNA 5' UTR secondary structure. There are minor differences in the upper portion of the large stem loop, however base pairing differences are characteristic of *ompF* mRNA 5' UTR structures [24]. Secondary structures of *E. coli ompC, ompN* and other outer membrane protein mRNA 5' UTRs do not display the *ompF* 5' UTR signatures.

#### c. Upstream regulatory sequences

Another important signature of *ompF* is the conservation and specificity of its upstream regulatory sequences. OmpR, a transcription factor found in E. coli and related organisms, is part of the two-component signal transduction regulatory locus ompB [27,2,28]. OmpR transcriptionally regulates expression of *ompF* and *ompC* genes in response to osmolarity change in E. coli and related species. Four OmpR binding sites F1-4 are located upstream of ompF in E. coli [29,30] (Figure 4a). Figure 4b shows an alignment of OmpR binding sites F1, F2, and F3 upstream of *ompF* from several species, including the proposed site upstream of P. luminescens ompN. F 1-3 sites in P. luminescens were deduced by the alignment of sequences upstream of the ATG translational start site of *ompN* and of *ompF* from the four enteric bacteria shown. The *E. coli* sites as described by Bergstrom et al [29] were used as a base line. These sites are involved in transcriptional activation in E. coli. There is a comparable sequence identity between *Photorhabdus* and the three enterobacteria *ompF* upstream sites F1-3 (Table 2). In addition, most nucleotide positions crucial for OmpR binding in E. coli [31,32] are conserved in *P. luminescens* sites, e.g., A<sub>4</sub> C<sub>5</sub> and A<sub>14</sub>C<sub>15</sub> in F1, A<sub>34</sub>, C<sub>35</sub> in F2, and C<sub>53</sub> in F3. Conservation of these crucial positions suggests that the *P. luminescens* sites function in OmpR binding. A GNNNC motif found in OmpR binding elements [32] is present in the *P. luminescens* sequence, G<sub>11</sub>AAAC<sub>15</sub>, albeit there is only one copy which is in the F1 site (Figure 4b).

The F4 site differs from F1-3 in that it is involved in repression and not activation of ompF expression [29]. An hypothetical F4 site was also located upstream of *ompN* in Photorhabdus based on alignment of sequences with the nt positions and sequence described for the E. coli F4 [29] (Figure 5). However, F4 sequences have diverged and are more complex than sites F1-3, e.g., Y. pestis and Y. enterocolitica species reveal low identity with the other gammaproteobacteria (e.g., 28.6% and 33.3% identity respectively, compared with the E. coli F4 site) (Table 3). Although this is close to random identity, the Yersinia species have a GNNNC motif. The identity between Photorhabdus and the E. coli F4 sites is much higher, 52.4%, however the putative P. luminescens F4 lacks the GNNNC motif (Figure 5). Thus, it is unlikely that this sequence functions as a repressor of the proposed P. luminescens ompF.

#### d. OmpF loop 3

Structurally, OmpF consists of trans-membrane beta strands and eight loops. Loop 3 (L-3) of OmpF is critical in formation of the channel pore and conductance and thus is a major functional determinant of the porin protein [33,34]. The amino acid sequence of L-3 of the proposed P. luminescens OmpF-like porin was aligned with L-3 sequences from related bacteria (Figure S1, Supplement, Additional file 1). The Photorhabdus sequence displays a high identity to the L-3 loop sequences of X. nematophilia OpmP (88% identity) and the Yersinia OmpF L-3 (82%) (Table S1, Supplement, Additional file 1). The sequence identity to the E. coli OmpN L-3 is 68%. Interestingly, there are substantial differences between the other gamma- proteobacterial OmpF L-3, especially between E. coli and Salmonella (49% Identity) (Table S1, Supplement, Additional file 1). This suggests a complex selection pressure in amino acid sequence in related gamma enterobacteria and possible structure-function differences of this critical loop. An L-3 phylogenetic tree is in Figure S2, Supplement, Additional file 1).

In summary, a) high identity with *ompF* mRNA 5' UTR sequences, b) shared mRNA 5' UTR secondary structure motifs, c) similarities between neighbor genes in the *P. luminescens ompN* locus and genes of the gamma-proteobacteria *ompF* locus, d) high sequence identities in upstream transcriptional regulatory sites F1–3, and e) identity of OmpF loop L-3 amino acid sequences all suggest that the annotated *ompN* gene is an *ompF*-ortholog in *P. luminescens*.

(a)

### Upstream OmpR binding sites - E.coli



#### Figure 4

a) Schematic of OmpR binding sites FI-4 located upstream of *ompF* in *E. coli* (29); b) Alignment of OmpR binding sites FI, F2, and F3 upstream of *ompF* in enterobacteria including the putative site upstream of *Photorhabdus ompN*. Ec, St, Ye, Yp, and PI refer to *E. coli* S. typhimurium, Y. *enterocolitica*, Y. *pestis*, and *P. luminescens* sequence, respectively. The putative F I-3 sites in *P. luminescens* were deduced by the alignment of sequences upstream of the ATG translational start site of *ompN* and of *ompF* from the four enteric bacteria shown. Binding sites are as follows: F1, positions I-20; F2, positions 21-40; F3, positions 41-60. Note: two nt positions between F2 and F3, which are not part of F1-3 binding sites (29) are not included in the figure.

#### plu I 752

plu1752 is situated between ompN and asnS in the annotated P. luminescens genome (Figure 1). The coding nucleotide sequence of plu1752 shows no frameshift mutations, no insertions, and one triplet deletion when sequences are compared with the proposed P. luminescens ompF (data not shown). Thus plu1752 encodes a nearly full length protein compared with OmpF. plu1752 has a 59% amino acid sequence identity to the P. luminescens OmpF-like porin and 59% identity to the X. nematophilia OpmP. Its identity with E. coli and Yersinia pestis OmpC proteins is 55% and 51% respectively, and 51% to OmpS1, a porin protein gene (distinct from ompF and ompC) in Salmonella typhi str. CT18. The amino acid sequence identity of *plu1752* is closest to OmpF-related proteins but only slightly higher than to OmpC proteins. On the other hand, the plu1752 deduced mRNA 5'UTR sequence displays a high identity with that of the proposed ompF 5' UTR ompF 5' UTR (76.4%). Interestingly, the *plu1752* 5'UTR sequence also has a 28 bp insertion in

the 3' region. However, the proposed plu1752 mRNA 5'UTR secondary structure closely resembles that of the P. luminescens ompF 5' UTR (Figure 6) and the 28 base insertion between positions 92-93 of the ompF 5' UTR does not appear to perturb the large stem loop, the primary ompF 5' UTR structural motif. The plu1752 5'UTR maintains the four looped out/bulged positions present in ompF 5' UTR major stem loop, albeit there are nucleotide sequence changes in these regions. Importantly, there are several base pair compensatory changes in stem sequences, e.g., in the stem closest to the top loop there is a change of the pairing  $U_{38}$ -A<sub>47</sub> (*ompF*, Figure 6b) to  $G_{40}$ -U<sub>48</sub> (plu1752, Figure 6a). This is strong evidence for evolutionary relatedness. The plu1752 mRNA 5'UTR displays poor sequence identity to the enteric ompC mRNA 5' UTRs (e.g., 47% and 36% to Y. pestis and E. coli, respectively) thus making it unlikely that it is an otholog of *ompC*. However, there is an apparent absence of an *ompC* gene in P. luminescens (see below).

#### Table 2: Percent Identity FI-3 binding sites

	E.coli	S.typhimurium	Y.pestis	Y.enterocolitica	P.luminescens
E.coli		86.7	70.0	70.0	66.7
S.typhimurium			65.0	65.0	63.3
Y.pestis				100.0	66.7
Y.enterocolitica					66.7

			TTTACTGCATATTTCACTGA	
			10 20	
E.C	. F4	seq	GTTACGGAATATTACATTGC	
s.t	. F4	seq	GTTACTGTATATTTCACGAA	
Y.p	. F4	seq	TTGGATGCGCGTTTAGCCGA	
Y.e	. F4	seq	CTGGATGCACGTTTGGCAGA	
P.1	. F4	seq	TTAATAGAAAATCCAATTAA	

Nucleotide sequence upstream of *ompN* in *P. luminescens* aligned with F4 binding site sequences in four enterobacterial species. Sequences identified by alignment of upstream sequences as described in Figure 4 caption.

Comparison of the *plu1752* upstream region with regions upstream of enterobacterial *ompF* genes reveals a close similarity with OmpR binding sites F1–3 (Figure 7a). Deduced F1–3 sequences of *plu1752* show 70% identity with F1–3 sites of the proposed *P. luminescens ompF*. In addition, several base positions highly critical for OmpR binding (as described for Figure 4b) are also conserved in the *plu1752* sites (Figure 7a) but also noted is the presence of only one GNNNC motif ( $G_{50}TATC_{55}$ , in F3). The upstream regulatory region of *plu1752* is, however truncated, i.e., there are only 142 bp between *plu1752* and its upstream gene, *asnS* gene. Thus, *plu1752* appears to have no potential for an F4 binding site. The *plu1752* -10 and -35 promoter sequences are nearly identical to those of proposed *P. luminescens ompF* (Figure 7b).

Loop 3 of Plu1752 protein has a high amino sequence identity with the proposed *P. luminescens* OmpF-like porin (77%) (Table S1, Supplement, Additional file 1). The identity with representative gamma proteobacterial OmpF L-3 sequences is much less and ranges from 44%-59%, with the exception of sequences of *Xenorhabdus* (68%) and *Yersinia* (71%) (Table S1, Supplement, Additional file 1).

Judging by the strong similarities in signatures between *plu1752* and the proposed *P. luminescens ompF, plu1752* may have arisen by a duplication of the *ompF*-like porin. A phylogenetic tree of the *P. luminescens* proposed *ompF* and *ompF* duplication 5' UTR sequences with representative omp 5' UTRs of other bacteria is shown in Figure 8.

The *P. luminescens ompF* and *ompF* duplication sequences form a cluster with *E. coli/Yersinia sp. ompF* 5' UTR sequences and removed from *ompN* and *ompC* 5' UTRs.

A comparison of *plu1752* characteristics with *ompF* is in Table 4. In view of the large number of non- synonymous mutations (changes in amino acids), i.e., 59% identity in amino acid composition between *P. luminescens ompF* and *plu1752*, the proposed duplication may have been an ancient one. *S. typhimurium* and *E. coli* separated about 140 million years ago and the percent amino acid changes between the *plu1752* protein and the proposed *P. luminescens* OmpF are about the same as those between *S. typhimurium* and *E. coli* OmpF proteins (Table 4). However, further phylogenetic analyses are needed to better understand the proposed duplication event.

## Porin protein gene ompC and regulatory RNA genes micF, micC and micA

#### a. ompC and micF

ompC, which codes for the smaller porin protein was not detected in P. luminescens. For example, ompC nearest neighbor genes such as ubiG, gyrA, rcsC, and rcsB are grouped together in E. coli and Yersinia pestis and are also conserved as a group in P. luminescens, but the Photorhabdus locus does not show an annotated ompC [15]. Blast searches of the P. luminescens genome using the ompC coding sequence, the ompC mRNA 5' UTR sequence, and the ompC amino acid sequence from E. coli and Y. pestis did not yield sequences orthologous to ompC (data not shown). In addition, various other E. coli porin protein sequences were employed to search the P. luminescens genome using the NCBI protein tblastn program, however no other porin protein sequences were detected with the exception of the proposed ompF and ompF duplication plu1752.

In *E. coli* and related species, the *micF* gene is an independent transcriptional unit with its own promoter and it does not overlap other genes [35]. However, *micF* and *ompC* are in the same chromosomal locus and are linked by a regulatory region (253 bp in *E. coli*) that includes binding sites for the transcription factor OmpR [2]. These serve as sites for both *micF* and *ompC* transcriptional activation by OmpR [36]. This linkage region is conserved in all enterobacteria known to contain a *micF* gene [18]. The region is complex and has binding sites for eight transcription regulators of *E. coli micF* [3,18,37]; also, see [38,39]. In *P. luminescens*, both the *micF* and *ompC* genes are missing

Table 3: Percent Identity F4 binding site

	E.coli	S.typhimurium	Y.pestis	Y.enterocolitica	P.luminescens
E.coli	***	66.7	28.6	33.3	52.4



a) Deduced *plu1752* mRNA 5'UTR secondary structure by mfold. Structure shown is alternate structure 4 from mfold (mfold structure I displays minor base pairing within the 28 bp insert but no differences in stem-loop structure), b) *P. luminescens ompF* mRNA 5' UTR secondary structure model.

(a)	TTTACATTTTGTAACA	TATTATT	T-CTTTTTGAA	ACAAAATTTT	TCTTTGTAGC	ATTTTCA	
	10	20	30	40	50	60	
Ec F1-3	TTTACTTTTAGTTACA	TATTTTT	TCTTTTTGAA	ACTAAATTTA	TCTTTGTAGC	ACTTTCA	60
St F1-3	ATAACATTTGTTTACA	TATTATT	T-CCTTTTGAA	ACCAAATTTA	<b>ICTTTGTAGC</b>	ACTTTCA	60
Ye F1-3	TTTACATTTTGTAACA	CATAGTT	T-CTTAATGAA	ACACATTTGT	AATTGGTAGC	ATTTTCG	60
Yp F1-3	TTTACATTTTGTAACA	CATAGTT	T-CTTAATGAA	ACACATTTGT	AATTGGTAGC	ATTTTCG	60
Pl F1-3	TTTACATTTTGAAACA	CTTTATT	T-CACATTTTT	ACTAAATTCT	TTTTTATAGC	ATTTTGT	60
plu 1752	F1-3 TTTACATTTTGCAATA	TATTATT	TTCACTTTATT	ACAAAAAGTC	ACTTTGTATC	A-ATTAA	60

(b)

#### **Promoter sequences**

#### P. luminescens

ompF	-10 AACACT	-35 TGGATA
plu1752	-10 AAAACT	-35 TAGATA

#### Figure 7

a) Alignment of upstream sequences of plu1752 with the F1-3 regions of enterobacterial *ompF*. Boundaries of F1, 2, 3 as in Figure 4b caption; b) comparison of -10 and -35 promoter sequences of plu1752 and *P*. *luminescens ompF*.

from the chromosomal locus where they normally are found. *micF* may have been transposed to another region of the chromosome, however blast searches with *micF* gene sequences from *E. coli* and *Yersinia* species, as well as with the conserved 253 nt regulatory region did not reveal homologous sequences in the *P. luminescens* genome.

Strategies employed to further search the *P. luminescens* genome for *micF* were based on conserved portions of the *micF* sequence and phylogenetically conserved *micF* RNA/ *ompF* mRNA 5'UTR duplex structural motifs. In all organisms where *micF* RNA is found (more than 6 species), there is a total conservation of the13 nt sequence at the 5' end [18]. This sequence is 5'GCUAUCAUCAUUA3' and it

forms a major part of the base pairing between *micF* RNA and *ompF* mRNA 5' UTR. A hypothetical *P. luminescens micF* RNA would consist of the same sequence based on the highly conserved portion of *ompF* mRNA 5'UTR (see Figure 2), with the exception of  $C_2$  to  $U_2$  change (Figure 9).

Intergenic regions (i.e., non protein coding regions) of the *P. luminescens* genome were scanned for sequences that provides a structure similar to the RNA/RNA duplex structure found in enterobacterial species. Permutations in the hypothetical *P. luminescens* 5' end *micF* sequence shown in Figure 9 involved the use of four random substitutions in this sequence (in addition to the two C to U variables shown in Figure 9 left). Also, added structural constraints



#### Figure 8

Phylogenetic tree of outer membrane protein mRNA 5' UTRs. Alignment and phylogenetic tree of outer membrane protein 5' UTRs was performed with the DNAStar ClustalW sequence alignment program.

P. luminescens	<u>Sequence</u> identity
ompF/plu1752 OmpF amino acid sequence	59%
ompF/plu1752 OmpF Loop L-3 sequence	77%
ompF/plu1752 nt coding sequence	68%
ompF/plu1752 mRNA 5' UTRs	77%
ompF/plu1752 F1-3 binding sites	71%
<u>S. typhimurium/E. coli</u>	
S. typhimurium/E. coli OmpF amino acid sequence	58%
S. typhimurium/E. coli OmpF Loop L-3 sequence	49%
S. typhimurium/E. coli ompF nt coding sequence	65%
S. typhimurium/E. coli ompF mRNA 5' UTRs	94%

for the total hypothetical micF RNA structure used consisted of a rho-independent termination motif at 35-85 nt downstream from the 3' end of the 13 nt sequence. The P. luminescens genome was scanned and sequences analyzed. A match with forty- three positives was found for a putative micF RNA with the above mentioned constraints. These positives were further analyzed for ability to form an RNA/RNA duplex structure that is consistent with the conserved generalized micF RNA/ompF mRNA 5' UTR duplex structures of various enterobacterial sequences [18]. Five positives were found, however four of these have sequences in the 3' region of the hypothetical RNA chain that partly overlap protein coding genes and thus were discounted. The fifth sequence did not overlap a coding region and provided a similar interaction displayed by the Yersinia RNA/RNA duplex (Figure S3a, S3b, Supplement, Additional file 1). However a) this structure did not display the ompF 3' end/micF 5' end RNA/RNA duplex motif consisting of "blunt ends" (Figure 9, right) [18], and b) the percent identity of this fifth sequence with the Y. pestis micF sequence is low, 35.2%. Therefore this "fifth positive sequence" was also discounted as a micF candidate, albeit we cannot exclude that this may constitute an RNA transcript from promoter search analyses (Supplement, Additional file 1). No other intergenic sequences provided all the prerequisite RNA/RNA duplex signatures. A third type of search was performed with a hypothetical P. luminescens micF sequence that would form a perfect 14 bp complement to the ompF 5'UTR 3' region (see Methods and Materials) and no positives were found in a search of the P. luminescens genome.

Thus, a) no *micF*-conserved 5' end 13 nt sequence (nt sequence in Figure 9, right), which has a rho -independent termination motif at less than 85 nt downstream of the 13 nt sequence, matched in the intergenic regions of *P. luminescens*, b) no *P. luminescens* intergenic sequences provide all the prerequisite signatures of the *micF* RNA/*ompF* 

mRNA 5' UTR duplex. In addition, the *P. luminescens* chromosomal locus where one would expect to find *micF* is partly rearranged and does not contain *micF* (or *ompC*).

If a putative *P. luminescens micF* RNA/*ompF* mRNA 5' UTR duplex structure diverged significantly from the phylogenetically conserved structures used for comparison, a *Photorhabdus micF* sequence would have been missed. Since the *P. luminescens ompF* mRNA 5'UTR has a secondary structure that closely conforms to the generalized 5' UTR structure and the 3' end of this UTR sequence that would interact with a hypothetical *micF* RNA is, with the exception of one nt change, completely conserved, it is less likely that a *Photorhabdus* RNA/RNA duplex structure would exist that diverges significantly from the consensus. The *E. coli* and *Y. pestis* intergenic regions of the chromosome were also scanned using the above parameters and the respective *micF* sequences were detected in these controls.

#### micC

The regulatory micC RNA was isolated and characterized in E. coli and homologous micC sequences were detected in close relatives such as Klebsiella pneumonie, but not in Yersinia species [4]. This RNA is 109 nt in chain length and regulates *ompC* expression post-transcriptionally in response to stress conditions [4]. Since the target ompC gene does not appear to be present in P. luminescens, it appears unlikely that P. luminescens would have micC. However we considered the question of whether "fossil" micC sequences may still be present. The P. luminescens genome, including the genomes of Y. pestis and Y. psedutuberculosis (which were not previously shown to have micC), were scanned for sequences that match E. coli micC. Blast searches with the NCBI Discontinuous Blast Program and utilizing the E. coli micC sequence as the query did not yield a match.

*micC* nearest neighbor genes were analyzed as well. In *E. coli K12, ydpK* (pyruvate-flavodoxin oxidoreductase gene) is situated 30 bp downstream from *micC* and *ompN* is 227 bp upstream of *micC* [4]. *ydpK* has not been annotated in *P. luminescens* and a blast search of the *P. luminescens* genome using the *Y.pestis* pyruvate-flavodoxin oxidoreductase amino acid sequence yielded only minor similarities to unrelated proteins. Thus we could not locate a locus encompassing the *micC* nearest neighbor genes in the *P. luminescens* genome.

Since *Yersinia* species have an *ompC*, theirgenomes were further searched for a *micC* homolog by analyzing sequences in a putative *micC* locus. *ydpK* is present in *Y*. *pestis* and *Y*. *psedutuberculosis* but annotated as *nifJ*. A hypothetical protein (with conserved domains similar to adenine nucleotide alpha hydrolases) is the nearest down-

P. luminescens	Y. pestis
ompF 5'UTR micF RNA	ompF 5'UTR micF RNA
3' <b>5'</b>	3' 5'
C-G	C-G
A-U	G-C
A-U	A-U
Α	Α
A-U	A-U
G-C or U	G-C
U-A	U-A
A-U	A-U
G-C or U	G-C
U-A	U-A
A-U	A-U
A-U	A-U
U-A	U-A
<b>5' 3'</b>	5' 3'

(left) Hypothetical P. luminescens ompF mRNA 5' UTR/micF RNA duplex and (right) Y. pestis ompF mRNA 5' UTR/micF RNA duplex at the 3' ompF UTR – 5' micF end interface. The C or U changes in the P. luminescens hypothetical micF RNA (left) were part of the sequences variations used in searches.

stream neighbor (the location of *micC* and *ompN* in *E. coli K12*). An analysis of the sequence immediately downstream of *nifJ* was made to search for a potential sequence that may represent a *micC* homolog in *Y. pestis* and *Y. psedutuberculosis.* The 275 bp sequence (positions 2662970-2663245) downstream of the end of 3' end of *nifJ* and upstream of the start of *YPT* 2254 (hypothetical protein) contains a probable rho- independent termination motif, however it did not yield significant sequence identity when aligned with the *E. coli micC* sequence (unpublished).

#### micA

Udekwu et [10] characterized a small regulatory RNA termed *micA* that post- transcriptionally controls *E. coli* OmpA synthesis. The *micA* sequence was also identified in other enterobacteria, including *Yesinia pestis* [10] but not in more distantly related organisms.

*OmpA*, the target of *micA*, has been annotated in the *P*. *luminescens* genome at positions 2117794-2118900 [40]. The putative *P*. *luminescens ompA* mRNA 5' UTR sequence was deduced from an alignment of sequences upstream of the *P*. *luminescens ompA* ATG start with *E.coli* and *Y*. *pestis ompA* mRNA 5' UTR sequences (Figure S4, Supplement, Additional file 1). The 5' UTRs of the 3 species show a divergence in sequence, particularly that of *P*. *luminescens*, but the region encompassing ~30 nt at the 3' end of the mRNA 5' UTR, the site that interacts with *micA* RNA in *E*. *coli* [10], is highly conserved and shows an identity of ~80% between *P*. *luminescens* and *E.coli* and *Y*. *pestis ompA* mRNA 5' UTR sequences.

The P. luminescens genome was searched for sequences similar to micA. A blast search using the E. coli and Y. pestis micA nucleotide sequences did not yield a match. In E. coli and Y. pestis genomes, micA is found between luxS and gshA [10]. To further search for the RNA gene, the nucleotide sequence between luxS and gshA in P. luminescens was scanned for homology to micA sequences. This region in P. luminescens was aligned with E. coli and Y. pestis micA sequences and a putative micA homologous sequence was identified (Figure 10). Position 52 shown in Figure 10 is the 5' start of the micA gene and positions 1-51 encompass the upstream promoter region. micA sequence identities between the four organisms shown are in the range of 58.8 - 61.8%. The 23 bp segment at the 5' end region of the putative P. luminescens micA, that includes sequences that would interact with the P. luminescens ompA 5' UTR, shows a slightly higher identity of 65.2% compared with both E. coli and Y. pestis micA 5' end regions. In addition, the upstream -10 and -35 promoter sequences are nearly identical between P. luminescens and Y. pestis (Figure 10).

The *P. luminescens* sequence displays the major signatures of micA RNA, i.e., a rho-independent termination motif at the 3' end and the potential of 5' end sequences to base pair with region of the mRNA 5'UTR that covers the S-D sequence. Secondary structure models of the putative P. luminescens micA RNA together with E. coli and Y. pestis micA RNA structural models are in Figure S5, Supplement, Additional file 1. Figure 11 shows proposed micA RNA/ ompA mRNA 5' UTR duplex interaction for P. luminescens, together with duplexes from related enterobacteria. All duplex models were obtained by the mfold program [26]. Although there are variations in duplex structures, the P. luminescens duplex shows close similarities to the other structures, e.g., shielding of the mRNA S-D ribosome binding site by the 5' end region of micA RNA, similarity in the length of the RNA/RNA duplex interaction and a similarity in 5' nucleotide position of micA RNA that participates in base pairing. Thus we propose that the sequence at positions 1451569 - 1451500 of the P. luminescens genome represents the micA gene homolog in P. luminescens.

By bioinformatics methods, Griffiths-Jones et al [42] and Hershberg et al [20] predicted and cataloged the small non-coding RNAs/RNA genes present in *E. coli* and related organisms. Seventeen are regulatory RNAs in *E. coli* (Table S2, Supplement, Additional file 1). Of the total small RNAs reported for *P. luminescens* on the Rfam website [43] (also D. Schones, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory and N. Delihas, unpublished data), five genes encode regulatory RNAs (Tables S2 and S3, Supplement, Additional file 1). The work reported here adds *micA* to this list. Twenty-five *E. coli* ncRNAs are of undetermined function (Table S4, Supplement, Additional file 1) and *P. luminescens* shares four of these ncRNA genes.

#### Discussion

*P. luminescens* evolved to proliferate in specialized environments and this poses interesting questions in terms of its gene composition. For free living bacteria, cell surface components and associated regulatory mechanisms are crucial to survival. The work here focuses on outer membrane porin protein genes and their regulatory RNA genes.

An *ompF*-type gene has been identified in *P. luminescens* as well as a putative *ompF* duplication (*ompF* paralog). The proposal for an *ompF* paralog is strongly supported by the mRNA 5' UTR compensatory base-pair changes, which maintain secondary structure signatures (Figure 6). The *ompF*-like gene was originally annotated as *ompN* in the *P. luminescens* genome, but there is no evidence for an *ompN* in *Photorhabdus*, either in chromosomal location or in the putative mRNA 5' UTR sequence. The homolog to *ompC*, which encodes the smaller porin has not been detected. No other porin protein genes, such as *phoE* [44] were



Alignment of *micA* sequences with upstream promoter region sequences. Position 52 is the 5' start of the *micA* gene and the upstream sequence shown encompasses positions 1-51. In *P. luminescens*, the putative -10 promoter site is at positions 40-45 (GTCATA sequence) and the -35 is at 16-21 (ATGAAA sequence). The *P. luminescens micA* and upstream region sequences shown in the figure are located at genomic positions 1451620-1451502.

found, but the specialized porin gene *lamB*, which encodes the protein involved in transport of maltose and maltodextrins across the cell envelope [45] has been annotated in *P. luminescens* [15]. In addition, *ompA*, which encodes a cell envelope protein that has multiple functions, was also previously annotated in *P. luminescens*.

OmpF is a generalized porin. It functions to allow for the intake of small nutrients into the cell and therefore serves an indispensable function. Therefore one would expect to find an *ompF*-type gene in *P. luminescens. ompF* is found in gamma subdivision enterobacteria whose genomes have been sequenced, including the obligate symbionts such as *Buchnera* and *Wiggleswothia* [46,47]. In *E. coli*, OmpF is one of the most abundant proteins in the cell. But perhaps each organism evolved its own porin properties such as conductance and pore size based on needs to thrive in specialized habitats and there may be nuances between *E. coli* and related bacterial OmpF functions.

OmpC is also a generalized porin, but may function primarily under conditions of high osmolarity [2]. OmpC may not be essential during either the symbiotic or pathogenic phases of *P. luminescens*. OmpA is a surface protein essential for the structural integrity of the cell envelope and as expected, is widely found in Gram-negative bacteria. The function of OmpN in *E.coli* is not known and it is uncertain whether *ompN* is an essential gene in other species. OmpN is expressed at very low levels in *E. coli strain BL21* when grown in rich media [48], however levels in cells grown in minimal media were not determined. Interestingly, strain *E. coli O157:H7 EDL933* appears to have a truncated *ompN* gene that codes for only123 amino acids out of the 377 amino acid sequence found in *E. coli K12*. *Salmonella enterica (subsp. enterica serovar Typhi str. CT18)* lacks an *ompN* gene at the *E. coli ompN* chromosomal site but has instead a transposase insertion sequence (unpublished). It appears that *ompN* is a pseudogene in *E. coli O157:H7 EDL933* and it may not be uniformly present in species closely related to *E. coli*.

The proposed *ompF* duplication in *P. luminescens* (*plu1752*) does not appear to be a pseudogene. It codes for a nearly full length OmpF-type polypeptide, maintains a porin structural motif [49] and has upstream nucleotide sequences similar to three of the four OmpR binding sites and sequences almost identical to the -10 and -35 promoter region of *P. luminescens ompF*. Assuming *plu1752* arose from *ompF*, it appears that the coding sequence has diverged from *ompF*, i.e., there is 59% amino acid sequence identity between *ompF* duplication and *ompF* coding regions.

Why is there a duplication of the *ompF*-type porin gene in *P. luminescens*? This may be related to requirements for small solute assimilation and a paralog of this porin may offer an advantage for survival of this organism in its specialized ecological niches. There are some differences between the *P. luminescens* putative *ompF* and *plu1752* Loop 3 amino acid sequences but no apparent changes in net charge. Amino acid sequence differences in L-3 can affect pore properties [33,34]. The *plu1752* putative mRNA 5' UTR and upstream OmpR binding region also have some changes. Therefore, it is possible *plu1752* is reg-



#### Figure II

Secondary structure models of micA RNA/ompA mRNA 5' UTR interaction. a) E. coli, b) Y. enterocolitica, c) Y. pestis, d) P. luminescens. Note: the ompA mRNA 5' UTR and micA sequences of Y. enterocolitica were not previously published. In this study, ompA and micA gene sequences were identified in Y. enterocolitica by a blast search of the genome using the Sanger Institute blast server [41]. ulated differently from the putative *ompF* and/or serves a more specialized function.

There is an apparent absence of a *micF* RNA gene homolog in *P. luminescens*. This suggests that post-transcriptional regulation of *ompF* expression is not essential for survival of this organism. In *E. coli, micF* expression is increased when the cell is under environmental stress conditions, e.g., exposure to toxic compounds, high temperature, cationic peptide antibiotics, or oxidative stress [3]. The confined life cycle of *P. luminescens*, involving only endosymbiotic and pathogenic phases, perhaps precludes exposure to these stress conditions. Thus *Photorhabdus* may have lost the *micF* gene when it evolved into an endosymbiont.

*OmpA* mRNA is degraded faster when *E. coli* cells enter stationary phase compared with log phase [9] and *micA* RNA appears to down-regulate *ompA* expression when cells enter stationary phase [10]. *P. luminescens* appears to have retained the *micA* gene. During the pathogenic phase, *P. luminescens* will go into stationary phase when insect nutrients are depleted [13]. Thus the proposed *micA* RNA may serve a similar function in *P. luminescens* as it does in *E. coli*. However, regulatory RNAs may not serve identical functional roles in organisms with different habitats. For example, *Serratia marcescens micF* responds to most but not all environmental factors that affect *micF* in *E. coli* [50].

*Photorhabdus* appears to have fewer *E. coli* regulatory ncRNA genes than its close relatives (Table S2, Supplement, Additional file 1). Important is the absence of rygA and *rygB*, two ncRNA genes that are proposed to regulate multiple outer membrane proteins in response to environmental conditions [51]. However, further searches are needed to definitively show absence of these genes in P. luminescens. On the other hand, P. luminescens, because of its complex life cycle, may have its own group of regulatory ncRNAs. For example, a small RNA has been hypothesized to regulate pathways leading to either symbiosis or pathogenicity [52]. In the extreme case of the obligate endosymbionts such as Buchnera and Wiggleswothia, only the E. coli housekeeping RNA genes are reported and there were no regulatory RNA genes found [42] (and D. Schones and N. Delihas, unpublished). Control of gene expression by RNA may be more prevalent in cells where survival is dependent on the ability to make rapid adjustments in response to environmental stress. Bacterial regulatory ncRNA genes probably evolved to help the organism survive under different environmental conditions and stress-related factors and these genes may not be present in organisms that live in more protected environments. Parallel to this, loss of transcriptional regulators

has been reported for the obligate symbiont *Buchnera*, which grows only in the aphid host [53].

#### **Conclusions and perspectives**

Analysis of the P. luminescens genome described here and by others shows essential outer membrane protein genes ompF, ompA and a proposed duplication of ompF. The post-transcriptional regulatory RNA gene micA appears to also be present, but the *ompF* regulatory counterpart *micF* is not evident. The presence or absence of certain ncRNA genes in Photorhabdus appears consistent with the rationale for a need or lack of need. On the other hand, new RNA genes may have evolved to help the organism thrive in its environment. Further analyses of the P. luminescens genome by bioinformatics and/or microarray analyses may reveal regulatory ncRNA genes or gene transcripts that may be unique to Photorhabdus and closely related species that function in the pathogenic phase. Along with the marked increase in virulence genes [13-15], genes that encode both regulatory proteins and regulatory RNAs may also have evolved and/or were acquired to increase the pathogenicity of the organism.

Two pertinent projects are underway. The genomes of the related symbiont and/or insect pathogens, *Xenorhabdus nematophilia* [54] and *Photorhabdus asymbiotica* [55] are currently being sequenced. When completed, these sequences may add to and/or complement the assessment of outer membrane porin genes and RNA regulatory genes presented here. *P. asymbiotica* is of particular interest since in some instances it is found to cause human opportunistic infections. This organism offers exciting opportunities to further assess virulence factors and their regulation.

#### **Methods and materials**

To search for outer membrane protein and regulatory RNA genes, the BLAST GenBank site of the National Center for Biotechnology Information [56] was used. Genome searches were with the BLAST with microbial genomes page [57]), with the exception of the *Yersinia enterocolitica* genome where the Welcome Trust Sanger institute site [58] was employed. The Entrez cross-database search page [16] was used to find nearest neighbor genes.

RNA secondary structure modeling was performed with the Zuker and Turner Mfold, version 3.2 [59,26]. Standard constraint parameters were used with the following: maximum interior/bulge loop size was 30, maximum asymmetry of an interior/bulge loop was 30 and there was no limit on maximum distance between paired bases. mRNA/ncRNA duplex structures were obtained by positioning the two individual RNAs 5' to 3' one after the other starting with the mRNA first and adding ten Ns between the two polynucletides. RNA secondary structures were displayed with the New Structure Viewing Options and figures in this paper were modified from graphic dispay by Output of sir\_graph by D. Stewart and M. Zuker.

Nucleotide sequences were aligned with the DNASTAR MegAlign alignment program [60]. Parameters used were that of J. Hein with gap penalty 11, gap length, 3; ClustalV, with gap penalty 10, gap length 10 or ClustalW with gap penalty 15, gap length, 6.66. Percent identities and consensus sequences were based on DNASTAR program.

Proposed 5' start of RNAs was based on alignment with known 5' start sites of homologous RNAs.

### Search for micF RNA primary and secondary structure motifs in P. luminescens

The strategy used to search for a putative micF RNA in P. luminescens was to scan the genome using the conserved 13 nt 5' end *micF* sequence, i.e., 5'G<sub>1</sub>CTATCATCATTA<sub>13</sub>3' as well as variations of this sequence. Variations included T at position 2, T at positions 6 and 9, and in addition, a total of 4 random substitutions. A different first pattern that provides perfect complementarily to the ompF mRNA 5' UTR was also employed: 5'G<sub>1</sub>TTTCATCATTATT<sub>14</sub>3'. Variations included a total of four random substitutions and also allowing for the insertion of an A residue randomly between the 3rd and 10th base of the pattern. Additional constraints consisted of a rho- independent termination pattern situated 35-85 bp downstream the two basic 5' end patterns shown above. The parameters used for the terminal rho- independent structure were a stem-loop followed by at least four T residues. The stem was 4-15 bp with a minimum of three G-C pairs, the loop 3-8 bases, and the maximum folding energy of loop was -9 Kcal/mol. Scans for the termination motif were performed after the initial identification of the two patterns shown above.

For scanning the *P. luminescens* genome, the perl programing language was used. Additional scans were performed for -10 and -35 promoter sequences as described in Supplement, Additional file 1. To avoid 0 values, discounting for the probabilities in the consensus sequences were applied. Jeffrey Perk's law was used [61]:

Jeffey Perk's law: P(w) = (C(w)+1/2)/(N+B/2),

where P is the assigned probability, w is a DNA character assignment, C(w) is the frequency of the character in the consensus table for the specific position, N is the number of training sequences used for the creation of the consensus table and B is the number of possible values for our character i.e., 4.

#### Abbreviations Nucleic acids

nt, nucleotide; N, any of the four ribo- or deoxyribonucleotides A,C,G,U, or T; Mb, 10<sup>6</sup> base pairs (megabases); S-D, Shine-Dalgarno ribosome binding site; ncRNA, non-coding RNA.

#### Organisms

B.a. Buchnera aphidicola; E.c., Escherichia coli; Er.c. Erwinia carotovora; P.l. Photorhabdus luminescens; S.t., Salmonella typhimurium or Salmonella typhi; S.m., Serratia marcescens; Shs, Shigella sonnei; W.g., Wigglesworthia glossinidia; Xn, X. nematophilia; Y.e., Yersinia enterocolitica; Y.p., Yersinia pestis; Yptb, Y. pseudotuberculosis;

#### Proteins

OmpA, outer membrane protein A; OmpC, outer membrane protein C; ompN, outer membrane protein N; OmpF, outer membrane protein F.

#### **Competing interests**

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Authors' contributions**

D.P. designed bioinformatics search programs and obtained data for *micF*. ND designed overall experimental approaches and obtained data for outer membrane proteins and regulatory RNAs.

#### Reviewer's comments' Reviewer's report 1

Tal Dagan (nominated by Dan Grauer), Institut fuer Botanik III Heinrich-Heine Universitaet Duesseldorf Universitaetsstr. 1, 40225 Duesseldorf, Germany

Second review following revisions: The manuscript by Papamichail & Delihas deals with the prediction of outer membrane proteins (OMP) and their regulators in the genome of *Photorhabdus luminescens*. The genome of *Escherichia coli*, a close relative of *P. luminescens*, contains three major outer membrane proteins that are regulated by trans-coded antisense RNAs. Using bioinformatics tools, Papamichail & Delihas search for the existence of similar genes in the genome of *P. luminescens*.

Following the first review iteration, bioinformatics analyses have been added to improve the manuscript. In its current state, the study presents a convincing evidence for the existence/loss of OMP in *P. luminescens*.

Remarks:

- In figure 1, there are two unmarked objects: a blue one in *E. coli*, and pink one in *S. typhimurium*. Following the

reply to the first review I now know which are they, but I think it is important to repot the information also to the reader (i.e., in the figure's legend).

- The presented alignments would be clearer in PrettyAlign format, or any other tool/format that presents an alignment with color-coded animo-acids. Such format enables the reader an immediate overall perception of the sequences' conservation degree.

- Citing links that oblige the user to enter some detail (such as CDD and other NCBI links) may be problematic, mainly because the cited databases may be updated after the publication of the manuscript. I would recommend saving the query result and adding it as supplementary material.

#### Reviewer's report 2

Mikhail S. Gelfand (with additional advice from Anna Gerasimova), Institute for Information Transmission Problems, RAS11 Bolshoy Karetnyper. 19, Moscow, GSP-4, 127994, Russia

Second review following revisions: We have no further comments. The paper is much improved following revision.

#### Author's response

Drs. Gelfand's and Gerasimova's initial comments were that the manuscript was interesting but limited in that a global assessment of ncRNAs as well as phylogenetic relations of *ompFs* were needed. These have been included in the Supplement.

#### Reviewer's report 3

J Peter Gogarten, University of Connecticut, Biology/ Physics Building, Rooms 404/426/427 Unit 3125 91 North Eagleville Road Storrs CT 06269-3125 USA

Second review following revisions: The authors describe a search of the *Photorhabdus luminescens* genome for outer membrane proteins and for their trans encoded small RNA regulators. In addition to the previously recognized *ompA* homolog, the authors identify two *ompF* homologs in the *P. luminescens* genome that evolved from a gene duplication. A search for small regulatory RNAs only identifies a *micA* homolog. The authors discuss their findings in light of the *P. luminescens* lifecycle.

Minor criticisms and suggestions were made [in the first review] and the have authors satisfactorily addressed these.

#### Websites references

National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank sites:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gquery/gquery.fcgi

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ entrequery.fcgi?db=genome&cmd=Retrieve&dopt=Over view&list\_uids=494

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/

BioCyc Database Collection

http://BioCyc.org/server.html

http://BioCyc.org/ECOLI/NEW-IMAGE?type=OPERON&object=TU0-2644

*mfold server* : 1995-2006, Michael Zuker, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute:

http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/applications/mfold/old/rna/

Donald Danforth Plant Science Center:

http://xenorhabdus.danforthcenter.org/

The Welcome Trust Sanger Institute

http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Rfam/

http://www.sanger.ac.uk/DataSearch/blast.shtml

http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/P\_asymbiotica/

DNASTAR, Inc

http://www.dnastar.com/

Accession numbers of bacterial strains <u>NC 004061</u>Buchnera aphidicola str. Sg

NC 00443Escherichia coli CFT073

NC 000913Escherichia coli K12

NC\_002655Escherichia coli O157:H7 EDL933

<u>NC 005126</u>Photorhabdus luminescens subsp. laumondii TTO1

NC 003197Salmonella typhimurium LT2,

NC 003198Salmonella enterica (subsp. enterica serovar Typhi str. CT18)

NC 004337Shigella flexneri 2a str. 301,

NC 004741Shigella flexneri 2a str. 2457T,

<u>NC\_003143</u>Yersinia pestis CO92

NC 006155Yersinia pseudotuberculosis IP 32953

#### **Additional material**

#### Additional File 1

a. OmpF Loop 3 b. Search for a putative P. luminescens micF RNA c. Promoter search methods d. Figures: ompA mRNA 5' UTR and micA RNA e. Non-coding RNAs in E. coli-related bacteria Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1745-6150-1-12-S1.pdf

#### Acknowledgements

D.P. was partially supported by NSF grants EIA-0325123 and DBI-0444815, with additional funding from Brookhaven National Laboratory. We thank Dr. Steven Skiena for initial discussions concerning design of bioinformatics approach and thank Drs. Daniel Dykhuizen, Michael Hadjiargyrou, and Steven Skiena for reading the manuscript and providing comments.

#### References

- Nikaido H, Vaara M: Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability. Microbiol Rev 1985, 49:1-32.
- 2. Forst S, Inouye M: Environmentally regulated gene expression for membrane proteins in Escherichia coli. Annu Rev Cell Biol 1988, **4:**21-42.
- 3. Delihas N, Forst S: micF: an antisense RNA gene involved in response of Escherichia coli to global stress factors. | Mol Biol 2001, 313:1-12.
- Chen S, Zhang A, Blyn LB, Storz G: MicC, a second small-RNA 4 regulator of Omp protein expression in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 2004, 186:6689-6697
- Wang Y: The function of OmpA in Escherichia coli. Biochem Bio-5. phys Res Commun 2002, 292:396-401.
- 6 Ried G, Henning U: A unique amino acid substitution in the outer membrane protein OmpA causes conjugation deficiency in Escherichia coli K-12. FEBS Lett 1987, 223:387-390.
- Sugawara E, Nikaido H: Pore-forming activity of OmpA protein 7. of Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1979, 76:4350-4354.
- 8. Nilsson G, Belasco JG, Cohen SN, von Gabain A: Growth-rate dependent regulation of mRNA stability in Escherichia coli. Nature 1984, 312:75-77.
- Georgellis D, Arvidson S, von Gabain A: Decay of o mpA mRNA 9. and processing of 9S RNA are immediately affected by shifts in growth rate, but in opposite manners. J Bacteriol 1992, 174:5382-5390.
- 10. Udekwu KI, Darfeuille F, Vogel J, Reimegard J, Holmqvist E, Wagner EG: Hfq-dependent regulation of OmpA synthesis is mediated by an antisense RNA. Genes Dev 2005, 19:2355-2366.
- Moran NA, Russell JA, Koga R, Fukatsu T: Evolutionary relationships of three new species of Enterobacteriaceae living as symbionts of aphids and other insects. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005, 71:3302-3310.
- 12. Brugirard-Ricaud K, Givaudan A, Parkhill J, Boemare N, Kunst F, Zumbihl R, Duchaud E: Variation in the effectors of the type III

secretion system among Photorhabdus species as revealed by genomic analysis. J Bacteriol 2004, 186:4376-4381.

- 13. ffrench-Constant R, Waterfield N, Daborn P, Joyce S, Bennett H, Au C, Dowling A, Boundy S, Reynolds S, Clarke D: Photorhabdus: towards a functional genomic analysis of a symbiont and pathogen. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2003, 26:433-456. Williamson VM, Kaya HK: Sequence of a symbiont. Nat Biotechnol
- 14 2003, 21:1294-1295.
- 15. Duchaud E, Rusniok C, Frangeul L, Buchrieser C, Givaudan A, Taourit S, Bocs S, Boursaux-Eude C, Chandler M, Charles JF, Dassa E, Derose R, Derzelle S, Freyssinet G, Gaudriault S, Medigue C, Lanois A, Powell K, Siguier P, Vincent R, Wingate V, Zouine M, Glaser P, Boemare N, Danchin A, Kunst F: The genome sequence of the entomopathogenic bacterium Photorhabdus luminescens. Nat Biotechnol 2003, 21:1307-1313.
- 16. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gquery/gquery.fcgi/].
- 17. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ entrequery.fcgi?db=genome&cmd=Retrieve&dopt=Over view&list\_uids=494].
- 18. Delihas N: Annotation and evolutionary relationships of a small regulatory RNA gene micF and its target ompF in Yersinia species. BMC Microbiol 2003, 3:13.
- 19. Forst S, Waukau J, Leisman G, Exner M, Hancock R: Functional and regulatory analysis of the OmpF-like porin, OpnP, of the symbiotic bacterium Xenorhabdus nematophilus. Mol Microbiol 1995, 18:779-789
- 20. Hershberg R, Altuvia S, Margalit H: A survey of small RNA-encoding genes in Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:1813-1820.
- 21. Notebaart RA, Huynen MA, Teusink B, Siezen RJ, Snel B: Correlation between sequence conservation and the genomic context after gene duplication. Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33:6164-6171.
- [http://www.sanger.ac.uk/DataSearch/blast.shtml/]. 22.
- Schmidt M, Zheng P, Delihas N: Secondary structures of 23 Escherichia coli antisense micF RNA, the 5'-end of the target ompF mRNA, and the RNA/RNA duplex. Biochemistry 1995, 34:3621-3631
- Delihas N: Antisense micF RNA and 5'-UTR of the target ompF 24. RNA: phylogenetic conservation of primary and secondary structures. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 1997, 36:33-35. 25. Lindell M, Romby P, Wagner EG: Lead(II) as a probe for investi-
- gating RNA structure in vivo. RNA 2002, 4:534-541
- 26 Zuker MM: Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:3406-3415.
- 27. Hall MN, Silhavy TJ: The ompB locus and the regulation of the major outer membrane porin proteins of Escherichia coli KI2. J Mol Biol 1981, 146:23-43
- Stock JB, Stock AM, Mottonen JM: Signal transduction in bacte-28. ria. Nature 1990, 344:395-400.
- 29 Bergstrom LC, Qin L, Harlocker SL, Egger LA, Inouye M: Hierarchical and co-operative binding of OmpR to a fusion construct containing the ompC and ompF upstream regulatory sequences of Escherichia coli. Genes Cells 1998, 3:777-788.
- Huang KJ, Igo MM: Identification of the bases in the ompF reg-30. ulatory region, which interact with the transcription factor OmpR. J Mol Biol 1996, 262:615-628.
- Pratt LA, Silhavy TJ: Identification of base pairs important for 31. OmpR-DNA interaction. Mol Microbiol 1995, 17:565-573.
- Kenney LJ: Structure/function relationships in OmpR and 32. other winged-helix transcription factors. Curr Opin Microbiol 2002, 5:135-141.
- 33. Cowan SW, Schirmer T, Rummel G, Steiert M, Ghosh R, Pauptit RA, Jansonius JN, Rosenbusch JP: Crystal structures explain functional properties of two E. coli porins. Nature 1992, 358:727-733.
- Saint N, Lou KL, Widmer C, Luckey M, Schirmer T, Rosenbusch JP: 34. Structural and functional characterization of OmpF porin mutants selected for larger pore size. II. Functional characterization. J Biol Chem 1996, 271:20676-20680.
- 35. Coyer J, Andersen J, Forst SA, Inouye M, Delihas N: micF RNA in ompB mutants of Escherichia coli: different pathways regulate micF RNA levels in response to osmolarity and temperature change. J Bacteriol 1990, 172:4143-4150
- 36. Kwon HJ, Bennik MH, Demple B, Ellenberger T: Crystal structure of the Escherichia coli Rob transcription factor in complex with DNA. Nat Struct Biol 2000, 5:424-430.

- 37. Karp PD, Ouzounis CA, Moore-Kochlacs C, Goldovsky L, Kaipa P, Ahren D, Tsoka S, Darzentas N, Kunin V, Lopez-Bigas N: Expansion of the BioCyc collection of pathway/genome databases to 160 genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33:6083-6089.
- [http://BioCyc.org/]. 38.
- 39 [http://BioCyc.org/ECOLI/NEW-Webpage IMAGE?type=OPERON&object=TU0-2644]
- 40 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ entrequery.fcgi?db=genome&cmd=Retrieve&dopt=Over view&list uids=347].
- 41
- [http://www.sanger.ac.uk/cgi-bin/blast/submitblast/y enterocolitica]. Griffiths-Jones S, Moxon S, Marshall M, Khanna A, Eddy SR, Bateman 42. A: Rfam: annotating non-coding RNAs in complete genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33(Database):D121-124.
- 43 [http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Rfam/]
- 44. Korteland J, Tommassen J, Lugtenberg B: PhoE protein pore of the outer membrane of Escherichia coli K12 is a particularly efficient channel for organic and inorganic phosphate. Biochim Biophys Acta 1982, 690:282-289.
- 45. Wandersman C, Schwartz M: Mutations that alter the transport function of the LamB protein in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 1982. 151:15-21.
- 46. Shigenobu S, Watanabe H, Hattori M, Sakaki Y, Ishikawa H: Genome sequence of the endocellular bacterial symbiont of aphids Buchnera sp. APS. Nature 2000, 407:81-86.
- 47. Akman L, Yamashita A, Watanabe H, Oshima K, Shiba T, Hattori M, Aksoy S: Genome sequence of the endocellular obligate symbiont of tsetse flies, Wigglesworthia glossinidia. Nat Genet 2002, 32:402-407
- Prilipov A, Phale PS, Koebnik R, Widmer C, Rosenbusch JP: Identifi-48 cation and characterization of two quiescent porin genes, nmpC and ompN, in Escherichia coli BE. | Bacteriol 1998, 180:3388-3392.
- 49. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi]. enter protein query: NP\_929031
- 50. Begic S, Worobec EA: Regulation of Serratia marcescens ompF and ompC porin genes in response to osmotic stress, salicylate, temperature and pH. Microbiology 2006, 152(Pt 2):485-491.
- 51. Guillier M, Gottesman S: Remodelling of the Escherichia coli outer membrane by two small regulatory RNAs. Mol Microbiol 2006. 59:231-247
- 52. Joyce SA, Watson RJ, Clarke DJ: The regulation of pathogenicity and mutualism in Photorhabdus. Curr Opin Microbiol 2005, 9:1-6.
- Moran NA, Dunbar HE, Wilcox JL: Regulation of transcription in 53. a reduced bacterial genome: nutrient-provisioning genes of the obligate symbiont Buchnera aphidicola. | Bacteriol 2006, 187:4229-4237.
- 54 [http://xenorhabdus.danforthcenter.org/].
- [http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/P asymbiotica/]. 55.
- 56. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/].
- 57. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sutils/genom\_table.cgi].
- 58 [http://www.sanger.ac.uk/DataSearch/blast.shtml]
- 59 [http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/applications/mfold/old/rna/]
- 60. [http://www.dnastar.com/].
- Manning CDH, Schutze H: Foundations of Statistical Natural 61. Language Processing. MIT Press Cambridge, MA; 2003.

